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Welcome

Chair Patrick O’Connell
New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
California Background and Perspectives on the Pathway Initiative Proposal

President Alice Reynolds
California Public Utilities Commission
Needs We Heard

• Need for a venue to discuss long term potential and pathways for regionalization
• Need for a pathway to independent governance of CAISO’s market services
• Need for a pathway to an RTO for those that are interested in it
• Need for a pathway to a large market footprint, including CA
Principles Considered

- Maximize Benefits
- Equitable Representation
- Independence & Autonomy
- Optionality & Flexibility
The Proposal

• Approaching independent governance differently
• Development and launch of a new entity
• Broad stakeholder process
• Building on investments in and success of EIM
• Process to run parallel to EDAM development
• Realizing cost-savings from west-wide market
• Increasing reliability throughout the West through visibility and optimization of resources
• Providing options for additional services, including RTO if desired
Phase 0 Comments

Commissioner Letha Tawney
Oregon Public Utilities Commission
Today
CAISO embodies two roles – both a BA and regional market services
Other BAs can join/leave the market service, the CAISO BA cannot

There are many BAs participating in Western EIM – this is merely a simplified illustration
Tomorrow
New Entity provides the market service but not BA services
All BAs can join/leave the market service

• Equitable Representation
• Independence & Autonomy
• Optionality & Flexibility
Future Optionality
New Entity provides the market service
Some BAs can decide to move towards additional services, while others remain only in the regional market

- Equitable Representation
- Independence & Autonomy
- Optionality & Flexibility
## Clarifying Intentions and Scope

### What It Is
- Solving a stated barrier
- Maximizing customer benefit
- Utilizing existing investments
- Building for flexibility - stop at RT, add DA or build an RTO

### What It Is NOT
- Slowing EDAM
- An RTO
- An RFP
Viability & Legal Analysis

- Path to implementing the vision requires stakeholder engagement
  - e.g. - comments show range of views on ‘sufficient independence’
- Path is shaped by:
  - Scope of the entity
  - How the CAISO BA and CAISO as a market operator relate to the new entity
- Launch Committee will examine pros / cons of scopes and forms – “scenarios” – informed by legal expertise
- This proposal is different from the Transition Committee’s evaluation – and can learn from their good work
- The CAISO contracts for services today and the CAISO BA could engage an external entity for market services, as other BAs do
Transparency: a core value

• Posting meetings, recordings and documents to the WIEB site
• Pre-scheduling monthly stakeholder sessions; virtual & recorded
• Creating distribution list – contact Carl at clinvill@raponline.org.

• Funding:
  • All funding to date is 501(c)(3) – See RAP’s Guide Star Profile here
  • Additional funding needs and sources to be considered by the Launch Committee
Phase 1 Overview
Jennifer Gardner
**Phase 1 Straw Proposal**

**Launch Committee**
- Stakeholder-comprised committee that directs the work in Phase 1
- Representative of all stakeholder sectors and geographically diverse
- Utilizes a working group structure to address Phase 1 issues
- Facilitates a stakeholder process with assistance from RAP facilitators

**Working Groups**
- Working groups assigned by Launch Committee
- Each group to address specific topics, including the viability analysis and corporate documents
- Will develop issue papers to be vetted with Launch Committee and stakeholders

**Nominating Committee**
- As with Launch Committee, representative of all stakeholder sectors and geographically diverse
- Formed at the end of Phase 1
- Identifies and seats Founding Board, which is seated in Phase 2
Launch Committee – Responsibilities

Note that working groups can be formed to address the specific issues below.

• Agree on a timeline and milestones for Phase 1.
• Agree on a funding and staff support strategy for Phase 1.
• Utilizing independent legal advice, determine the feasibility of various scenarios (i.e., corporate structures and scopes) for the independent entity.
• Decide on the form, mission, and scope of the independent entity.
• Develop the charter to guide the initial work of the independent entity.
• Seat the Nominating Committee near the end of Phase 1.
Launch Committee & Nominating Committee

Stakeholder Sectors
• Western States
• Consumer Advocates
• California Utilities
• Utilities outside of California
• IPPs and Power Marketers
• Public Power and Consumer-Owned Utilities
• Federal PMAs
• Public Interest Organizations

Decision-Making
• Consensus is the default.
• Where consensus is not possible, a simple voting framework could be developed.
Forming the Launch Committee

- States request stakeholder volunteers to help form the Launch Committee by October 6.
- States will organize a meeting the week of October 9 for these volunteers to accomplish the following:
  1. Agree to the sectors represented on the committee;
  2. Identify the geographic representation of sectors critical for a strong regional committee;
  3. Conduct outreach to sectors to obtain suggestions for sector representation on the committee; and
  4. Form the Launch Committee by October 20.
Looking Ahead – Phase 2 and the Foundational Board

• Preliminary board; not necessary to meet FERC independence requirements for RTOs

• Establishes the non-profit entity; begins negotiations to enable entity to contract for market services

• Exists until “triggering event” – i.e., independent entity contracts for market services

• Seats the permanent, fully independent board in anticipation of the triggering event
Timeline

Phase 0: July 2023 – September 2023
• Preparatory Work
• Stakeholder Input

Phase 1: September 2023 – January 2024
• Seat Launch Committee (at beginning)
• Launch Committee facilitate stakeholder process
• Establish Nominating Committee (towards end)

Phase 2: January 2024 – May 2024
• Nominating Committee process kicks off: identify, interview, and select qualified candidates for independent entity’s foundational board
• Seat Foundation Board by March 2024
• Establish the non-profit entity by April 2024
Next Steps

• Meeting recording will be posted at the WIEB landing page for the Pathway Initiative (WWGPI)

• Please send responses to questions by Oct 13 to clinvill@raponline.org or avalainis@raponline.org

• Please consider volunteering to help form the Launch Committee by October 6 – notify clinvill@raponline.org

• Carl/RAP will coordinate meeting of volunteers for the week of October 9th

• Look for us at CREPC! Make appointments with Carl from October 4 to 6 here

Please come to our Phase 1 monthly stakeholder meetings:
  • Oct. 20, Nov. 17, Dec. 15, Jan. 19
  • 10:30 am – 12:00 pm PT/11:30 am – 1:00 pm MT